

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claim 1 is amended to include subject matter of Claim 3.

Since the art rejections (including the double patenting rejections) are not applied to Claim 3 or Claim 17, it is submitted that including the subject matter in Claim 1 (Claim 17 was added previously), the art rejections are overcome. Claim 24 is similarly amended but as a method claim.

Claims 11-23 are cancelled, thereby avoiding the 37 CFR 1.75 rejections.

Claim 1 and Claim 24 are also amended to clarify that the active ingredient encapsulates the nanocarbons. This is detailed in the specification at page 3 in the first paragraph and the discussion on pages 6, line 16 - page 7, line 26 (especially page 6, lines 16 - page 7, line 4).

The Examiner included Claims 24 and 31-32 in the 35 USC 112 rejection for lack of a requirement of encapsulation. That encapsulation is the result of the method. It is added to the claims as noted above.

Appl. No. 10/537,478
Reply to Office Action of February 27, 2009

In view of the above, the rejections are addressed.
Entry of this Amendment and allowance of the application
are therefore respectfully requested.

Frishauf, Holtz, Goodman
& Chick, P.C.
220 Fifth Ave., 16th Floor
New York, NY 10001-7708
Tel. No. (212) 319-4900
Fax No.: (212) 319-5101
MJC:sg

Respectfully submitted,

/Marshall J. Chick/
MARSHALL J. CHICK
Reg. No. 26,853